



Iranian agriculture advisors' perception and intention toward biofuel: Green way toward energy security, rural development and climate change mitigation

Jafar Yaghoubi ^{a,*}, Masoud Yazdanpanah ^b, Nadejda Komendantova ^{c,d}

^a Department of Agricultural Extension, Communication and Rural Development, University of Zanjan, Zanjan, Iran

^b Khuzestan Agricultural Sciences and Natural Resources University, Mollasani, Ahvaz, Iran

^c Risk, Policy and Vulnerability Program, International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis (IIASA), Laxenburg, Austria

^d Institute for Environmental Decisions (ETH) Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 31 October 2017

Received in revised form

23 May 2018

Accepted 20 June 2018

Available online 20 June 2018

Keywords:

Perception

Biofuel

Climate change

Rural development

Renewable energy

Agriculture extension

ABSTRACT

Agricultural professionals play an important role in informing and educating farmers about biofuel through teaching or extension work. One of the questions commonly asked is if they are knowledgeable and possess positive attitude towards renewable energy sources. The aim of this article is to investigate Agricultural professionals' attitude and willingness towards biofuel using a random sample of professionals ($n = 180$) in a survey conducted in Zanjan province in Iran. Structural equation modeling showed that "Outcome efficacy", "problem perception" and "perceived benefits" can significantly predict just about 38% variance of Agricultural professionals' personal norm. In turn, personal norm can predict 35% variance of Agricultural professionals' willingness. The findings yield public policy recommendations for stimulating biofuel extension and deployment among Agricultural professionals.

© 2018 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

1. Introduction

Mankind faces a great paradox regarding energy usage. On the one hand, energy is an important component of modern life, it is also essential for growth and development. Also, progress in socio-economic development depends on energy use [1,2]. Nowadays, fossil fuel is still enormously important for growth in all part of economic sectors including manufacturing industry, tourism, transport and agriculture [3]. Indeed, about 86% of the world energy demand is currently being provided by the mix of fossil fuel such as oil, gas and coal [4]. On the other hand, current consumption of fossil fuel leads to environmental problems such as climate change and air pollution connected with the greenhouse gas emission or negative impacts on people, plants and animals [5,6]. Approximately 80% of greenhouse gases emission come from production and consumption of energy [7] and fossil fuel contribute to about 90% of total global CO₂ emission in 2011 [8].

Recognizing the need for energy transition, many countries, including developed, developing and transition economies, settled ambitious targets to develop alternative energy sources. These targets will allow achievement of energy security targets as well as to reduce pressure on environment and to reach targets of climate change mitigation [9–12]. Renewable energy sources will be alternative to fossil fuel energy sources which will enable the satisfaction of growing energy demand without greenhouse gas emission [13]. Therefore, during the last two decades, many countries are increasingly focusing on deployment of renewable energy sources [10].

Biofuel is one of the most available and abundant renewable energy sources, which also has a limited impact on the environment [14]. This source has the potential to satisfy growing energy demand which includes demand for electricity and for liquid fuel. It can also contribute to agricultural and rural development as well as to economic growth [15]. Biofuel has both advantages and disadvantages [16]. However, their further discussion is beyond the scope of this paper. In this paper, we provide only a brief description of factors which might be important for perceptions of stakeholders. For instance, biofuel could play a role in economic

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: Yaghoubi@znu.ac.ir (J. Yaghoubi).

growth and revitalization of rural areas. They can contribute to meeting the rural development goals such as poverty eradication and food security [17–21]; enhance local agriculture [18], create new investment, create job opportunities [16], cause less pollution and greenhouse gas emission [22]. It is “climate-neutral” [23], enhances soil and water quality [24–26] and increases energy independence both locally and internationally. In response to these potential advantages, several countries [13] designed energy security and climate change mitigation policy to replace a significant share of fossil fuel.

2. Background

Currently, biofuel is providing over 11.5% of the world's energy demand [14]. Iran is a rich country for biofuel sources [27,28]. In other words, climate and terrain diversity in Iran are the basic factors for the cultivation of various energy crops appropriate for biodiesel production [29]. Agricultural remains, animal waste and municipal solid waste (MSW) can be applied as the major sources of bioenergy in Iran including Zanjan province. In addition, vast amounts of crops can be the major source of bioethanol and biodiesel production in Iran [29]. The future scenario for biofuel in Iran is aimed to reach around 10MWpower [30]. In the context of biomass, feasibility studies on biogas production in Saveh city (600 kW power), Mashhad biomass power plant (650 kW) and Shiraz biomass power plant (1060 kW) have been made and it is expected that the construction and operation of these plants will start soon [31].

The process of biofuel production involves several stakeholders including government and policy makers, farmers, agricultural researchers, advisors and consumers. All of these stakeholders play important roles in developing and extending technological innovations connected with biofuel deployment. However, existing evidence still shows low level of awareness among farmers [13] and energy consumers [32]; [33] in Iran. Considering this situation, other parties can play a pivotal role for developing these innovations. Meanwhile, agricultural advisors have an important role and can potentially have a great impact on deployment of biofuel.

Extensive literature exists on importance of agricultural experts for the deployment of innovations as they can close the gap between farmers in developed and developing countries to highlight the importance of their perception regarding innovations. For example, Wheeler [34] point out that agricultural agents are important source of information to farmers and they help farmers to adapt to an innovation. Karppinen [35] also argued that forestry professionals are among the most important promoters, advisors and educators that farmers trust and rely on as a viable source of information. Educational advisors act as gatekeepers [13,36] and can facilitate the process of adaptation of an innovation or hinder it. Gautam et al. [37], argued that perceptions of educational advisors are important because they are shaped by their experience in the forestry sector and good knowledge about local situation, especially in the remote and rural areas.

Taking the success of educational advisors in the forestry sector into consideration, the interest of these stakeholders is also growing in other areas. For instance, there has been a considerable volume of work, looking at the role of educational advisors for the deployment of renewable energy in general, and biofuel in particular. This literature emphasizes the need to understand social acceptance of the renewable energy sources. Liarakou et al. [38], argued that success of development of renewable sources depends on social acceptance which in turn depends on communication strategies and the reliable sources of information, among other factors. Education plays a crucial role in raising the level of acceptance and can be a catalyst for the development of renewable

sources. Therefore, agricultural professionals as educators of renewable energy sources might have significant influence on social acceptance [38]. Furthermore, Gautam et al. [37] acknowledged that collecting and understanding the professionals' views and perceptions can become a valuable data source for planning effective and efficient bioenergy policies.

In light of existing literature about the role of educational advisors and agricultural professionals, this paper investigates a hypothesis that agricultural professionals play an important role in informing and educating farmers on biofuel through teaching or extension work (See Ref. [39]. But a question is if they are knowledgeable and have positive attitude towards renewable energy sources. Another question is; if their indispensable knowledge and values can be properly incorporated into the learning process by providing farmers with appropriate capabilities for involvement into decision-making processes. Therefore, perception and intention of agricultural professionals concerning biofuel need to be understood.

This topic is a concrete research work, which has little or no work done on it in the past. For instance, there is an extensive study about perceptions of different groups of stakeholders such as lay people, students, consumers and foresters on biofuel [40]; [15,37,41]. But to our knowledge, no research has been conducted about perceptions of agricultural advisors and intentions towards biofuel. Also, the topic of renewable energy in Iran, until recently, deserves little attention. Considering the existing renewable energy potentials in Iran, it is really surprising how little was written in peer-reviewed science about possibilities for deployment of renewable energy in Iran and the role of human factors such as perceptions. Some evidence on possibilities of renewable energy in Iran exists but only from technical view point; by estimating physical potentials [42] or from economic view point; by estimating economic potentials of the renewable energy generation [43]. The question about social acceptance of biofuel in Iran has received little attention until now. The aim of this study is to provide empirical data about personal norm and intentions of Iranian agricultural advisors toward biofuel. Such data can further inform the process of improvement of public policy measures with the aim to increase biofuel adoption and production among the Iranian farmers. This research is based on behavioral theory to deliver theoretically concrete, quantitative, standardized and repeatable data [44,45], which could be used by policy-makers in formulation of policies towards biofuel consumption. The center of this research is the behavioral model, which was introduced by Huijts et al., [46]. The model includes non-related factors which influence the intention to accept a policy intervention. In total, as Fig. 1 indicates, personal norm has an effect on intention. In turn, personal norm is jointly determined by “perceived cost”, “perceived benefits”, “perceived risk”, “perceived outcome efficacy” and “perceived problems”.

3. Methodology

The methodology of this research is based on the cross-sectional survey which was conducted among agricultural advisors in the Zanjan province, located in the Western Iran. A total of 180 agricultural advisors participated in the survey, which took place in August 2017. All respondents were granted anonymity and confidentiality. They also had a choice to decline participation in the survey or to refuse answering any question which seemed to be too sensitive or to which they felt uncomfortable. It is important to note that based on the population of advisor (N = 337) and the Table of sampling [47], our study sample consisted of 180 advisors. The experts' age ranged from 23 to 52 years, with a mean of 37.90 and SD of 8.60. Females accounted for 37.78% (68) and males for 60.56%

(109) and 1.66% (3) persons did not answer.

The survey was based on the structured questionnaire, which was developed after in-depth literature review (see Ref. [48]. The questionnaires were returned to the researchers directly after completion without the use of intermediaries. All questionnaires were checked to make sure that responses were complete. The questionnaire included variables emphasized in the behavioral model such as “perceived benefits”, “perceived problems”, “perceived outcome efficacy”, “perceived risks” and “perceived costs”. Further on, these variables formed “personal norms” and “behavioral intentions”.

Based on methodological recommendations of Ajzen's [49]; scales containing multiple items were developed to measure each of the variables. The respondents were asked to indicate the extent to which they agreed or disagreed with the statements presented to measure each variable according to the 5-point Likert scale (from very low = 1 to very high = 5). This scale was used to reduce the statistical problem of extreme skewness [50]. It is essential to note that for assessing research variables, we used items that strongly follow the measurement of the constructs used in past studies on biofuel, renewable and other related domains.

Table 1 shows some examples of the survey statements contained in the questionnaire. The validity of the questionnaire was subsequently approved by a panel of experts. Furthermore, the Cronbach alpha reliability coefficients for all scales indicated a reliability of -excellent, generally 0.78 to 0.92 (Table 1). As Table 1 shows the α coefficient of our study.

4. Results

The Pearson correlation test was used to investigate the relationship between all variables (Table 2). The results revealed a significant relationship between “intention towards biofuel” and other variables, including “outcome efficacy”, “problem perception”, “perceived benefits”, “risk perception” and “personal norms”. The “perceived costs” were not significantly correlated with willingness to use biofuels and personal norm (Table 2). Although we expected that “perceived cost” has a negative relationship with intention and “personal norm”, the result however revealed that there is no significant relationship between them. This may be attributed to the rise of fossil fuel prices in Iran as the increasing

fossil fuel pricing policies are implemented directly by the government through a targeted subsidies plan in Iran.

The data analysis to test the hypotheses was carried out by means of structural equation modeling (SEM) using AMOS 20. The willingness to use was determined by personal norm, while personal norm was jointly determined by “outcome efficacy”, “problem perception”, “perceived benefits”, “risk perception”, “perceived costs”, and “personal norms” as independent variables and entered into the Structural equation modeling (SEM) (Fig. 2). The test of the SEM included an estimation of the model fit and the path coefficients. Using the maximum likelihood method, the model gives the following estimates:

- i) The measurement model should demonstrate robustness for the empirical data and meet the requirements of certain indexes; for example; chi-square normalized by degrees of freedom (χ^2/df) should be less than five [58]. In our study, it was 2.66.
- ii) The comparative fit index (CFI) should exceed 0.9. Here, it was 0.94.
- iii) The root mean square error (RMSEA) should be less than 0.10 [59]. In our study, it was 0.076. This suggests an adequate model fit for the empirical data.

In summary, the indices show that the model, which is a representation of the framework, can be accepted from an empirical point of view as being robust (for more details, see Refs. [60,61]. In the next step, study framework was tested consecutively.

The results of the SEM revealed that the (standardized) path coefficients indicated the strength of the relationships between the variables. The separation of direct and indirect causal effects of the components can be found in Fig. 2, as well as in Table 3.

Regarding the direct and indirect effects (Table 3), the following observations can be made. Path relationships revealed that the “outcome efficacy”, “problem perception”, “perceived benefits”, are significant predictors of the “personal norm”. These variables predicted about 38% of the variance in professionals' personal norm. “Personal norm”, in turn, significantly predicts willingness toward biofuel. This variable predicted about 35% of the variance in professionals' willingness. As it can be seen in Table 2 and Fig. 2, “Outcome efficacy” appear to contribute most to the model




Fig. 1. Theoretical framework.

Table 1
Survey questions and reliability coefficients.

Risk perception ($\alpha = 0.78$)		references
1	I think expansion of biofuels is associated with investment risks	[13]
2	I think expansion of biofuels is associated with social risks	
3	I think expansion of biofuels is associated with environmental risks	
4	I think expansion of biofuels is associated with cost risks	
Perceived costs ($\alpha=0.89$)		
1	There are insufficient water resources for biofuel production in Iran.	[13]
2	Biofuels will have negative environmental impacts	
3	Biofuel production will threaten plants and wildlife	
4	Biofuel production will lead to an increase in the price of food	
5	Biofuels will increase fuel costs	
6	Growing biofuel plants will reduce the quality of life in local communities	
7	Recent increases in biofuel production have contributed to world hunger	
8	Developing biofuels takes resources away from other renewable energy solutions, such as wind and solar	
9	Government mandates to use more biofuels put unfair restrictions on Iranian industry	
Personal norms ($\alpha=0.91$)		
1	I feel I should do something positive for biofuels	[48]; [51]; [33]; [52]; [53]
2	I feel an obligation to expanding biofuels	
3	If I expanding biofuels, it makes me feel like a better person	
4	If I expanding biofuels, I feel as if I am making a personal contribution to something better	
Perceived benefits ($\alpha=0.92$)		
1	Increasing the share of biofuels can reduce CO2 emissions.	[13]; [32]; [33]; [54]
2	Biofuel production will create more jobs	
3	Developing domestic biofuels will help strengthen the Iranian economy	
4	Developing biofuels will help rural development	
5	Biofuels will enable us to turn agricultural waste into energy	
6	Biofuels can generate additional income for rural people in Iran	
7	Biofuels will enable us to turn agricultural waste into energy	
8	Use of biofuels can make local people in Iran self-reliant in energy terms in Iran	
9	By investing in biofuels, the Iranian government can join international efforts against global warming	
10	Increasing the share of biofuels can reduce CO2 emissions	
11	Developing domestic biofuels will help strengthen the Iranian economy	
12	The development of biofuels can reduce Iran's reliance on exported oil	
Outcome efficacy ($\alpha=0.85$)		
1	It is pointless to use biofuel to prevent the climate change impacts	[55]
2	Expanding biofuel to prevent the environment problem will be a waste of time and not be effective	
3	I think I can contribute to the extension of biofuel	
4	I believe that I am co-responsible for the reduction of fossil fuel used in agriculture	
5	I think it is effective to educate farmers to aware about biofuel	
Problem perception ($\alpha=0.84$)		
1	I worry about economic problems caused by use of fossil fuel	[55]; [56]
2	I think reliance on fossil fuel is a serious problem	
3	I think fossil fuels will be phased out soon	
4	Problem rise by use of fossil fuel is not a serious problem (reverse coded)	
5	Climate change due of fossil fuel seriously harms the farmers' well beings	
6	Fossil fuel use is an important cause of environmental problems such as climate change and air pollution	
Intention ($\alpha=0.92$)		
1	I intend to engage in expanding biofuels activities	[13]; [32,36,57]
2	Do you think you will engage in expanding biofuels activities in the future?	
3	I intend to encourage other advisor to engage in expanding biofuels activities	
4	As an expert, I would like to be involved in conducting research and extension activities on biofuels	
5	If biofuel are safer than fossil fuels, I will buy them	
6	I would be happy to educate and encourage farmers to gain more knowledge about biofuels	
7	Supporting the development of biofuels is justified	
8	I would like to drive a car in the future that runs on biofuel	
9	I would like to visit a biofuel plant in my region	

($\beta = 0.35$, $p < 0.0001$), followed by “perceived benefits” ($\beta = 0.21$, $p < 0.0001$) and “problem perception” ($\beta = 0.20$, $p < 0.005$). Paths from other variables to “personal norm” were not significant. Regarding indirect effect, SEM analysis revealed that “perceived benefits” (0.158) and “problem perception” (0.246) have indirect effect on willingness through “personal norm”. In general, the fit statistics support the criterion validity and explanatory power of the model.

5. Discussion

The goal of this research is to investigate “personal norms” and intention of agricultural professionals toward the use of biofuels in Zanjan province, Iran. To our knowledge, until now only limited

studies applied psychological model to examine individual readiness to use renewable energy in Iran [54]. The findings of this paper thus contributes to a growing body of literature, which previously used psychological model to study environmental issues (see, [46]).

Our results discovered that the model can predict 35% of the variance in the willingness of agricultural professionals toward the use of renewable energy and 38% of the variance in the professional ‘personal norm’ toward the use of renewable energy. “Intention” was positively influenced by personal (moral) norm. In turn, personal norm was determined by perceived benefit, problem perception and outcome efficacy.

These findings showed that the model was fairly good at explaining willingness and personal norm. The explained variance of the model is comparable to the variance explained by the theory


Table 2

The Pearson correlation test between all variables.

Variables	Outcome efficacy	Problem perception	Perceived benefits	Risk perception	Perceived costs	Personal norms	Intention
Outcome efficacy	1						
Problem perception	0.486** (0.0001)	1					
Perceived benefits	0.410** (0.0001)	0.417** (0.0001)	1				
Risk perception	0.169* (0.023)	0.384** (0.0001)	0.438** (0.0001)	1			
Perceived Costs	0.037 (0.627)	−0.013 (0.860)	0.144 (0.055)	0.257** (0.0001)	1		
Personal Norms	0.433** (0.0001)	0.406** (0.0001)	0.490** (0.0001)	0.263** (0.0001)	0.057 (0.450)	1	
Intention	0.350** (0.0001)	0.363** (0.0001)	0.490** (0.0001)	0.263** (0.0001)	0.097 (0.198)	0.595** (0.0001)	1

*p < 0.05.

**p < 0.01.

**Fig. 2.** The SEM analysis.

of planned behavior (TPB), the theory of reasoned action (TRA) [62], health belief model (HBM) [63] and social cognitive model (SCM) [64]. In a meta-analysis, Armitage and Conner [65] found that the

average explained variance of intention using these two theories was about 39%. However, the explained variance is observed to be similar to that in TRA/TPB models.

Table 3
Variable effects of study framework.

	Problem perception	Outcome efficacy	Perceived benefits	Perceived costs	Perceived risk	Personal norms
Standardized direct Effects						
Personal norms intention	0.196	0.347	0.211	0.021	−0.114	—
	—	—	—	—	—	0.595
Standardized Indirect Effects						
intention	0.246	−0.052	0.158	0.017	0.150	—
Standardized Total Effects						
Personal norms intention	0.196	0.347	0.211	0.021	−0.114	—
	0.117	0.207	0.126	0.012	−0.068	0.595

Personal norm is the predictor of intention. It refers to the individual perception about what is right or wrong (in terms of action) [66]. Personal norms are internal moral rules or values motivated by anticipated self-administered rewards or punishments [67]. When experts realizes that the use of fossil fuel pose threats to other people, species of plants and animals, or the biosphere at large, and that actions they initiate could avert those consequences they will result to use biofuel which has minimal consequences. The existing studies [67–69] provided important results which are consistent with those found in this study and which imply that personal norm has a significant contribution to intention.

According to the finding which showed that personal norm significantly predicts intention, this study suggests that the more a person feels his/her behavior is a personal norm to use biofuel the greater his/her intention to use biofuel. Our study shows that in the field of biofuel, it may be helpful to think positive, self-rewarding feelings in order to encourage individual to use it.

Outcome efficacy was the most predicting factor of personal norm. This variable is one of the key factors in the norm activation model and the value belief norm theory. It refers to one's evaluation of the perceived effectiveness of participating in a recommended behavior for preventing a threat. Or, it can be defined as the belief that a recommended coping response will be effective in protecting themselves or others from a threat and the anticipated effectiveness of the action in reducing the threat [70]. Outcome efficacy relates to the efficacy of an adaptive response to reduce or avoid the existing risks [71,72]. In the context of this research, it refers to the efficacy of biofuel application to diminish the negative consequences of fossil fuel. Our finding is consistent and confirmed with past studies. For example, Cass et al. [73] found that expectations and positive evaluation of benefits from renewable energy sources is the main determinant of support for renewable energy sources developments.

Perceived benefit is the other effective predicting factor of personal norm. It refers to an individual's belief in relative effectiveness of an action to reduce a disease threat [74]. In this study, it refers to a professional's belief about the perceived effectiveness of biofuel for her/his own health, benefits for society (socially and economically) and for protection of the environment. This result may be explained by the energy consumption decisions, environmental problems and global warming which are associated with each other. This has led to recurrent drought and recent warming in Iran [75–79]; [80] and taking into consideration the need to pay attention to environmental variables in decisions regarding energy use.

In other words, stakeholders are interested in the environmental issues and are more willing to use renewable energy because they think that renewable energy is safer and cleaner for society, environment and future generations than conventional sources. Therefore, higher perceived benefits are likely to lead to greater personal norm toward use of biofuel. This finding is correct for agricultural expert, because recently due to different social, environmental and economical crisis in Iran (global warming, high

level of fossil fuels and other resources consumption, severe drought and water shortage) (See Refs. [81,82] the awareness of people generally and expert particularly regarding alternative energy options increased [83]. Problem perception is another predictor of professionals' personal norm. In our study, problem perception refers to the significance of the different problems related with the use of fossil fuel, such as global warming, energy dependency and its effects on health of citizens. From the perspective of agricultural professionals the problems related with fossil fuel creates a serious threat to their environment, society and themselves.

Our results also revealed the important role of outcome efficacy, perceived benefits and problem perception, on personal norm toward biofuel. As the outcome efficacy was the strongest predictor of intention, government agencies and environmental organizations should aim at increasing knowledge about the economic and environmental benefits of biofuels with fossil fuels harms. The perceived benefits of biofuel were the second strongest predictor of personal norm along with Problem perception. Policy programs must focus on educating professionals about the harm of fossil fuels hand emphasize the benefits of biofuel, as a result, professionals recognize the need for renovation toward biofuel and their own responsibilities in the change process. Furthermore, policy programs must be carried out to teach professionals on the advantages of biofuel for the community, economic and environment.

6. Conclusion

Our study has two main goals. The first and foremost goal is to illustrate factors that determine the intentions of agricultural professionals towards biofuels while the second goal is to analyze the efficacy of the theoretical framework. Our result revealed that main elements of the NAM and TPB such as personal norm, attitude and PBC are the determinants of professionals' intention toward biofuel use. Based on this, personal norm was observed to be the greatest predicting factor of intention. Furthermore, a perceived benefit of biofuel is an important predicting factor of attitude and MN and it has positive effect. OE is another important predicting factor of attitude and MN respectively. It is important to note that OE has negative effect in result the result obtained. But PP has no significant effect on attitude and MN respectively. Concerning the second goal, the explained variance in the framework of intention to use biofuel was basically high due to the mixing of the TPB variables and NAM variable with the additional variables such as PA, PB and OE. Finally based on our finding we can suggest that the ability of the model to predict behaviors in the pro-environmental domain in a country is appropriate however.

Acknowledgments

We express appreciation to the Research Deputy of University of Zanjan for support of this study under grant agreement number 9612.

References

- [1] B. Behera, A. Ali, Factors determining household use of clean and renewable energy sources for lighting in Sub-Saharan Africa, *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 72 (2017) 661–672.
- [2] K.M. Keramitsoglou, Exploring adolescents' knowledge, perceptions and attitudes towards Renewable Energy Sources: a colour choice approach, *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 59 (2016) 1159–1169.
- [3] B.J. Mehdi, B.Y. Slim, The role of renewable energy and agriculture in reducing CO₂ emissions: evidence for North Africa countries, *Ecol. Indic.* 74 (2017) 295–301.
- [4] N. Abas, A. Kalair, N. Khan, Review of fossil fuels and future energy technologies, *Futures* 69 (2015) 31–49.
- [5] A. Al Makky, A. Alaswad, D. Gibson, A.G. Olabi, Renewable energy scenario and environmental aspects of soil emission measurements, *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 68 (2017) 1157–1173.
- [6] N.L. Panwar, S.C. Kaushik, S. Kothari, Role of renewable energy sources in environmental protection: a review, *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 15 (3) (2011) 1513–1524.
- [7] A.M. Omer, Energy, environment and sustainable development, *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 12 (9) (2008) 2265–2300.
- [8] J.G. Olivier, J.A. Peters, G. Janssens-Maenhout, Trends in Global CO₂ Emissions 2012 Report, 2012.
- [9] S.M. Hammami, A. Triki, Identifying the determinants of community acceptance of renewable energy technologies: the case study of a wind energy project from Tunisia, *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 54 (2016) 151–160.
- [10] M.F. Hossain, S. Hossain, M.J. Uddin, Renewable energy: prospects and trends in Bangladesh, *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 70 (2017) 44–49.
- [11] H.G. Mobtaker, Y. Ajabshirchi, S.F. Ranjbar, M. Matloobi, Solar energy conservation in greenhouse: thermal analysis and experimental validation, *Renew. Energy* 96 (2016) 509–519.
- [12] S.M. Moosavian, N.A. Rahim, J. Selvaraj, K.H. Solangi, Energy policy to promote photovoltaic generation, *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 25 (2013) 44–58.
- [13] Z. Bakhtiyari, M. Yazdanpanah, M. Forouzani, N. Kazemi, Intention of agricultural professionals toward biofuels in Iran: implications for energy security, society, and policy, *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 69 (2017) 341–349.
- [14] A. Taghizadeh-Alisaraei, H.A. Assar, B. Ghobadian, A. Motevali, Potential of biofuel production from pistachio waste in Iran, *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 72 (2017) 510–522.
- [15] D. Skipper, L. Van de Velde, M. Popp, G. Vickery, G. Van Huylenbroeck, W. Verbeke, Consumers' perceptions regarding tradeoffs between food and fuel expenditures: a case study of US and Belgian fuel users, *Biomass Bioenergy* 33 (6) (2009) 973–987.
- [16] J. Kleinschmidt, Biofueling Rural Development: Making the Case for Linking Biofuel Production to Rural Revitalization, 2007.
- [17] A. Ajanovic, Biofuels versus food production: does biofuels production increase food prices? *Energy* 36 (4) (2011) 2070–2076.
- [18] M.J. Groom, E.M. Gray, P.A. Townsend, Biofuels and biodiversity: principles for creating better policies for biofuel production, *Conserv. Biol.* 22 (3) (2008) 602–609.
- [19] S. Nazari Nooghabi, S. Burkart, H. Mahmoudi, F. Taheri, A. Mahdavi Damghani, M. Yazdanpanah, H. Azadi, More food or better distribution? Reviewing food policy options in developing countries, *Food Rev. Int.* (2017) 1–15.
- [20] G.C. Schoneveld, L.A. German, E. Nutakor, Land-based investments for rural development? A grounded analysis of the local impacts of biofuel feedstock plantations in Ghana, *Ecol. Soc.* 16 (4) (2011) 10.
- [21] C. Zapata, D. Vazquez-Brust, J. Plaza-Ubeda, Productive Inclusion of Smallholder Farmers in Brazil's Biodiesel Value Chain: Programmed Design, Institutional Incentives and Stakeholder Constraints (No. 73), Working Paper, International Policy Centre for Inclusive Growth, 2010.
- [22] J. Goldemberg, Ethanol for a sustainable energy future, *Science* 315 (5813) (2007) 808–810.
- [23] L. Reijnders, Conditions for the sustainability of biomass based fuel use, *Energy Pol.* 34 (7) (2006) 863–876.
- [24] H. De Gorter, D.R. Just, The social costs and benefits of biofuels: the intersection of environmental, energy and agricultural policy, *Appl. Econ. Perspect. Pol.* 32 (1) (2010) 4–32.
- [25] D.A. Laird, The charcoal vision: a win–win–win scenario for simultaneously producing bioenergy, permanently sequestering carbon, while improving soil and water quality, *Agron. J.* 100 (1) (2008) 178–181.
- [26] L. Van de Velde, W. Verbeke, M. Popp, G. Van Huylenbroeck, Trust and perception related to information about biofuels in Belgium, *Publ. Understand. Sci.* 20 (5) (2010) 595–608.
- [27] Y. Hamzeh, A. Ashori, B. Mirzaei, A. Abdulkhani, M. Molaei, Current and potential capabilities of biomass for green energy in Iran, *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 15 (9) (2011) 4934–4938.
- [28] A.A. Tofigh, M. Abedian, Analysis of energy status in Iran for designing sustainable energy roadmap, *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 57 (2016) 1296–1306.
- [29] S.E. Hosseini, A.M. Andwari, M.A. Wahid, G. Bagheri, A review on green energy potentials in Iran, *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 27 (2013) 533–545.
- [30] A.H. Ghorashi, A. Rahimi, Renewable and non-renewable energy status in Iran: art of know-how and technology-gaps, *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 15 (1) (2011) 729–736.
- [31] D. Fadaei, Z.S. Esfandabadi, A. Abbasi, Analyzing the causes of non-development of renewable energy-related industries in Iran, *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 15 (6) (2011) 2690–2695.
- [32] M. Yazdanpanah, M. Forouzani, M. Hojjati, Willingness of Iranian young adults to eat organic foods: application of the health belief model, *Food Qual. Prefer.* 41 (2015a) 75–83.
- [33] M. Yazdanpanah, N. Komendantova, R.S. Ardestani, Governance of energy transition in Iran: investigating public acceptance and willingness to use renewable energy sources through socio-psychological model, *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 45 (2015b) 565–573.
- [34] S.A. Wheeler, What influences agricultural professionals' views towards organic agriculture? *Ecol. Econ.* 65 (2008) 145–154.
- [35] H. Karppinen, Forest owners' choice of reforestation method: an application of the theory of planned behavior, *For. Pol. Econ.* 7 (2005) 393–409.
- [36] M. Yazdanpanah, D. Hayati, G.H. Zamani, Investigating agricultural professionals' intentions and behaviors towards water conservation: using a modified theory of planned behaviour, *Environ. Sci.* 9 (1) (2011) 1–22.
- [37] Y.B. Gautam, P. Pelkonen, P. Halder, Perceptions of bioenergy among Nepalese foresters—Survey results and policy implications, *Renew. Energy* 57 (2013) 533–538.
- [38] G. Liarakou, C. Gavrilakis, E. Flouri, Secondary school teachers' knowledge and attitudes towards renewable energy sources, *J. Sci. Educ. Technol.* 18 (2) (2009) 120–129.
- [39] M. Yazdanpanah, F.R. Feyzabad, Investigating Iranian farmers' satisfaction with agricultural extension programs using the American customer satisfaction index, *J. Agric. Food Inf.* 18 (2) (2017) 123–135.
- [40] M. Qu, P. Ahponen, L. Tahvanainen, D. Gritten, B. Mola-Yudego, P. Pelkonen, Chinese university students' knowledge and attitudes regarding forest bio-energy, *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 15 (8) (2011) 3649–3657.
- [41] M.A. Cacciatore, A.R. Binder, D.A. Scheufele, B.R. Shaw, Public attitudes toward biofuels: effects of knowledge, political partisanship, and media use, *Polit. Life Sci.* 31 (1) (2012) 36, 1.
- [42] D. Saeidi, M. Mirhosseini, A. Sedaghat, A. Mostafaeipour, Feasibility study of wind energy potential in two provinces of Iran: North and South Khorasan, *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 15 (2011) 3558–3569.
- [43] A. Mostafaeipour, M. Jadidi, K. Mohammadi, A. Sedaghat, An analysis of wind energy potential and economic evaluation in Zahedan, Iran, *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 30 (2014) 641–650.
- [44] J. Beedell, T. Rehman, Using social-psychology models to understand farmers' conservation behaviour, *J. Rural Stud.* 16 (1) (1999) 117–127.
- [45] E. Wauters, C. Biellers, J. Poesen, G. Govers, E. Mathijs, Adoption of soil conservation practices in Belgium: an examination of the theory of planned behavior in the agri-environmental domain, *Land Use Pol.* 27 (1) (2010) 86–94.
- [46] N.M. Huijts, E.J. Molin, L. Steg, Psychological factors influencing sustainable energy technology acceptance: a review-based comprehensive framework, *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 16 (1) (2012) 525–531.
- [47] R.V. Krejcie, D.W. Morgan, Determining sample size for research activities, *Educ. Psychol. Meas.* 30 (1970) 607–610.
- [48] M. Yazdanpanah, D. Hayati, M. Thompson, G.H. Zamani, N. Monfared, Policy and plural responsiveness: taking constructive account of the ways in which Iranian farmers think about and behave in relation to water, *J. Hydrol.* 514 (2014a) 347–357.
- [49] I. Ajzen, From Intentions to Actions: a Theory of Planned Behavior, Springer, 1985.
- [50] C. Fornell, A national customer satisfaction barometer: the Swedish experience, *J. Mark.* 56 (1992) 6–21.
- [51] M. Yazdanpanah, D. Hayati, G.H. Zamani, S. Hochrainer-Stigler, Understanding farmers' intention and behavior regarding water conservation in the Middle-East and North Africa: a case study in Iran, *J. Environ. Manag.* (2014b), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2014.01.016>.
- [52] M. Yazdanpanah, M. Forouzani, A. Abdeshehi, A. Jafari, Investigating the effect of moral norm and self-identity on the intention toward water conservation among Iranian young adults, *Water Pol.* 18 (1) (2016) 73–90.
- [53] M. Yazdanpanah, M. Forouzani, Application of the Theory of Planned Behaviour to predict Iranian students' intention to purchase organic food, *J. Clean. Prod.* 107 (2015) 342–352.
- [54] M. Yazdanpanah, N. Komendantova, Z.N. Shirazi, J. Linnerooth-Bayer, Green or in between? Examining youth perceptions of renewable energy in Iran, *Energy Res. Social Sci.* 8 (2015c) 78–85.
- [55] L. Steg, J. Groot, Explaining prosocial intentions: testing causal relationships in the norm activation model, *Br. J. Soc. Psychol.* 49 (4) (2010) 725–743.
- [56] M.C. Onwezen, G. Antonides, J. Bartels, The Norm Activation Model: an exploration of the functions of anticipated pride and guilt in pro-environmental behavior, *J. Econ. Psychol.* 39 (2013) 141–153.
- [57] M. Yazdanpanah, F. Rahimi Feyzabad, M. Forouzani, S. Mohammadzadeh, R.J.F. Burton, Predicting farmers' water conservation goals and behavior in Iran: a test of social cognitive theory, *Land Use Pol.* 47 (2015d) 401–407.
- [58] P.M. Bentler, EQS Structural Equations Program Manual, BMDP Statistical Software, Los Angeles, 1989.
- [59] J.W. Henry, R.W. Stone, A structural equation model of end-user satisfaction with a computer-based medical information systems, *Inf. Resour. Manag. J.* 7 (3) (1994) 21–33.
- [60] L. Hu, P.M. Bentler, Cutoff criteria for fit indexes in covariance structure analysis: conventional criteria versus new alternatives, *Struct. Equ. Model.* 6 (1) (1999) 1–55.

- [61] F.G. Kaiser, H. Scheuthle, Two challenges to a moral extension of the theory of planned behavior: moral norms and just world beliefs in conservationism, *Pers. Individ. Differ.* 35 (2003) 1033–1048.
- [62] I. Ajzen, The theory of planned behaviour, *Organ. Behav. Hum. Decis. Process.* 50 (1991) 179–211.
- [63] N.K. Janz, M.H. Becker, The health belief model: a decade later, *Health Educ. Q.* 11 (1) (1984) 1–47.
- [64] A. Bandura, *Social Foundations of Thought and Action: a Social Cognitive Theory*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1986.
- [65] C.J. Armitage, M. Conner, Efficacy of the theory of planned behavior: a meta-analytic review, *Br. J. Soc. Psychol.* 40 (4) (2001) 471–499.
- [66] o. Simsekoglu, T. Lajunen, Social psychology of seat belt use: a comparison of theory of planned behavior and Health Belief Model, *Transport. Res. F Traffic Psychol. Behav.* 11 (3) (2008) 181–191. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trf.2007.10.001>.
- [67] A.M. Arvola, M. Vassallo, P. Dean, A. Lampila, S.A. Lahteenmaki, R. Shepherd, Predicting intentions to purchase organic food: the role of affective and moral attitudes in the Theory of Planned Behaviour, *Appetite* 50 (2008) 443–454.
- [68] M.M. Bissonnette, L.R. Contento, Adolescents' perspectives and food choice behaviors in terms of the environmental impacts of food production practices: application of a psychosocial Model, *J. Nutr. Educ.* 33 (2) (2001) 72e82.
- [69] F.G. Kaiser, A moral extension of the theory of planned behavior: norms and anticipated feelings of regret in conservationism, *Pers. Individ. Differ.* 41 (2006) 71–81.
- [70] A. Bandura, Self-efficacy: toward a unifying theory of behavioral change, *Psychol. Rev.* 84 (1977) 191–215.
- [71] D.L. Floyd, S. Prentice-Dunn, R.W. Rogers, A meta-analysis of research on protection motivation theory, *J. Appl. Soc. Psychol.* 30 (2) (2000) 407–429.
- [72] S. Milne, P. Sheeran, S. Orbell, Prediction and intervention in health-related behavior: a meta-analytic review of protection motivation theory, *J. Appl. Soc. Psychol.* 30 (1) (2000) 106–143.
- [73] N. Cass, G. Walker, P. Devine-Wright, Good neighbors, public relations and bribes: the politics and perceptions of community benefit provision in renewable energy development in the UK, *J. Environ. Pol. Plann.* 12 (3) (2010) 255–275.
- [74] B.-Y. Ng, A. Kankanhalli, Y. Xu, Studying users' computer security behavior: a health belief perspective, *Decis. Support Syst.* 46 (4) (2009) 815–825. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dss.2008.11.010>.
- [75] D. Hayati, M. Yazdanpanah, F. Karbalaee, Coping with drought, *Psychol. Dev. Soc.* 22 (2) (2010) 361–383.
- [76] A. Raeisi, M. Bijani, M. Chizari, The mediating role of environmental emotions in transition from knowledge to sustainable use of groundwater resources in Iran's agriculture, *Int. Soil Water Conserv. Res.* (2018).
- [77] M. Yazdanpanah, D. Hayati, G.H. Zamani, F. Karbalaee, S. Hochrainer-Stigler, Water management from tradition to second modernity: an analysis of the water crisis in Iran, *Environ. Dev. Sustain.* 15 (6) (2013a) 1605–1621.
- [78] M. Yazdanpanah, M. Thompson, D. Hayati, G.H. Zamani, A new enemy at the gate: tackling Iran's water super-crisis by way of a transition from government to governance, *Prog. Dev. Stud.* 13 (3) (2013c) 177–194.
- [79] T. Zobeidi, M. Yazdanpanah, M. Forouzani, B. Khosravipour, Climate change discourse among Iranian farmers, *Climatic Change* 138 (3–4) (2016) 521–535.
- [80] Y. Azadi, M. Yazdanpanah, M. Forouzani, H. Mahmoudi, Farmers' adaptation choices to climate change: a case study of wheat growers in Western Iran, *impress, J. Water Clim. Change* (2018).
- [81] M. Yazdanpanah, N. Monfared, S. Hochrainer-Stigler, Inter-related effects due to droughts for rural populations: a qualitative field study for farmers in Iran, *Int. J. Mass Emergen. Disast. (IJMED)* 31 (2) (2013b) 106–129.
- [82] M. Yazdanpanah, G.H. Zamani, S. Hochrainer-Stigler, N. Monfared, J. Yaghoubi, Measuring satisfaction of crop insurance a modified American customer satisfaction model approach applied to Iranian Farmers, *Int. J. Disaster Risk Reduction* 5 (2013d) 19–27.
- [83] N. Komendantova, M. Yazdanpanah, R. Shafiei, Studying young people's views on deployment of renewable energy sources in Iran through the lenses of Social Cognitive Theory, *AIMS Energy* 6 (2) (2018) 216–228.